Section 75 Policy Screening Form

Part 1: Policy Scoping

The first stage of the screening process involves scoping the policy or policy area. The purpose of policy scoping is to help prepare the background and context and set out the aims and objectives for the policy being screened. At this stage, scoping the policy will help identify potential constraints as well as opportunities and will help the policy maker work through the screening process on a step by step basis.

You should remember that the Section 75 statutory duties apply to internal policies (relating to people who work for the authority), as well as external policies (relating to those who are, or could be, served by the authority).

Information about the policy

Name of the policy or policy area: FINANCE

Is this an existing, revised or a new policy/policy area?

Existing	Revised	New
	X	

Brief Description

The policies that have been reviewed in the third quarter of 2021

Drawdown Procedure (revised)

What is it trying to achieve? (intended aims and outcomes)

To ensure the Agency has a robust finance system to safeguard the public purse.

Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?

YES	NO	N/A
	Х	

If YES, explain how.

Who initiated or wrote the policy?

The policies was written by: The Ulster Scots Agency

Who owns and who implements each element of the policy?

Policies are led by Finance Department

Implementation factors

Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision?

YES	NO	N/A
	X	

Financial: YES (If YES, please detail)

No

Legislative: Y / N (If YES, please detail)

Other, please specify:

Main stakeholders affected

Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy will impact upon?

Staff:

Service users:

Other public sector organisations:

Voluntary/community/trade unions:

Other policies with a bearing on this policy

What are they and who owns them? **Available evidence**

Evidence to help inform the screening process may take many forms. Public authorities should ensure that their screening decision is informed by relevant data.

What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for relevant Section 75 categories.

Section 75 Category	Details of Evidence/Information
ALL	The policies are formed using good practice guidance from the Northern Ireland Audit Office, Internal Audit and the knowledge and professionalism of existing staff.

Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories

Section 75 Category	Details of Needs/Experiences/Priorities
ALL	N/A

Part 2: Screening Questions

Introduction

- 1. If the conclusion is **none** in respect of all of the Section 75 categories, then you may decide to screen the policy <u>out</u>. If a policy is 'screened out', you should give details of the reasons for the decision taken.
- 2. If the conclusion is <u>major</u> in respect of one or more of the Section 75 categories, then consideration should be given to subjecting the policy to an EQIA.
- 3. If the conclusion is **minor** in respect of one or more of the Section 75 categories, then consideration should still be given to proceeding with an EQIA, or to measures to mitigate the adverse impact; or an alternative policy.

In favour of a 'major' impact

- a) The policy is significant in terms of its strategic importance;
- b) Potential equality impacts are unknown, because, for example, there is insufficient data upon which to make an assessment or because they are complex, and hence it would be appropriate to conduct an EQIA;
- Potential equality and/or good relations impacts are likely to be adverse or are likely to be experienced disproportionately by groups of people including those who are marginalised or disadvantaged;
- d) Further assessment offers a valuable way to examine the evidence and develop recommendations in respect of a policy about which there are concerns among affected individuals and representative groups, for example in respect of multiple identities;
- e) The policy is likely to be challenged by way of judicial review;
- f) The policy is significant in terms of expenditure.

In favour of 'minor' impact

- a) The policy is not unlawfully discriminatory and any residual potential impacts on people are judged to be negligible;
- b) The policy, or certain proposals within it, are potentially unlawfully discriminatory, but this possibility can readily and easily be eliminated by making appropriate changes to the policy or by adopting appropriate mitigating measures;
- c) Any asymmetrical equality impacts caused by the policy are intentional because they are specifically designed to promote equality of opportunity for particular groups of disadvantaged people;
- d) By amending the policy there are better opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations.

In favour of none

- a) The policy has no relevance to equality of opportunity or good relations.
- b) The policy is purely technical in nature and will have no bearing in terms of its likely impact on equality of opportunity or good relations for people within the equality and good relations categories.

Taking into account the earlier evidence, consider and comment on the likely impact on equality of opportunity / good relations for those affected by this policy, by applying the following screening questions and the impact on the group i.e. minor, major or none.

Screening questions

1 What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 grounds? **Minor/Major/None**

Section 75 Category	Details of Policy Impact	Level of Impact? Minor/Major/None
Religious		None
belief	None	
Political	None	None
opinion		
Racial /	None	None
ethnic group		
Age	None	None
Marital	None	None
status		
Sexual	None	None
orientation		
Men and	None	None
women		
generally		
Disability	None	None
Dependants	None	None

2 Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within any of the Section 75 categories?			
Section 75 Category	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons	
		No, the policies are all inclusive	

3 To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? Minor/Major/None		
Good Relations Category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact Minor/Major/None
Religious belief	Some religions do not deal with credit cards.	Minor
Political opinion		
Racial group		

Bood elations ategory	If Yes , provide details	If No , provide reasons
		No, the policies are all inclusive.
to considerati ultiple identiti	king, people can fall into more than one on, are there any potential impacts of the	ne policy/decision on people wit

Part 3: Screening Decision

In light of your answers to the previous questions, do you feel that the policy should: (please underline one):

- 1. Not be subject to an EQIA (with no mitigating measures required)
- 2. Not be subject to an EQIA (with mitigating measures /alternative policies)
- 3. Not be subject to an EQIA at this time
- 4. Be subject to an EQIA

If 1. or 2. (i.e. not be subject to an EQIA), please provide details of the reasons why:

We do not require EQIA as the strategy does not adversely affect any of the Section 75 categories

Terms and Conditions of all contracts include reference to equality of opportunity

If 2. (i.e. not be subject to an EQIA), in what ways can identified adver attaching to the policy be mitigated or an alternative policy be introd	
In light of these revisions, is there a need to re-screen the revised/alte at a future date? YES / NO	ernative policy
If 3. or 4. (i.e. to conduct an EQIA), please provide details of the reason	ns:

Timetabling and Prioritising EQIA

If 3. or 4., is the policy affected by timetables established by other rel authorities? YES / NO	evant public
If YES, please provide details:	
Please answer the following questions to determine priority for timet EQIA. On a scale of 1-3, with 1 being the lowest priority and 3 being t assess the policy in terms of its priority for EQIA.	
Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity and good relations	
Social need	
Effect on people's daily lives	
Relevance to a public authority's functions	
Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with oth screened in for EQIA. This list of priorities will assist you in timetabling the EQIA. Details timetable should be included in the quarterly Section 75 report.	
Proposed date for commencing EQIA:	
Any further comments on the screening process and any subsequen	t actions?

Part 4: Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help identify any future adverse impacts arising from the policy which may lead you to conduct an EQIA, as well as help with future planning and policy development. You should consider the guidance contained in the Commission's Monitoring Guidance for Use by Public Authorities (July 2007). The Commission recommends that where the policy has been amended or an alternative policy introduced, then you should monitor more broadly than for adverse impact (See Benefits, P.9-10, paras 2.13 – 2.20 of the Monitoring Guidance).

Please detail proposed monitoring arrangements below:

Policy will be reviewed	every 3 years unles:	s there is mitigati	ing circumstances to
review before the period	d has lapsed.		

Part 5: Approval and Authorisation

Screened by:	Position/Job Title	Date
David McCallum	Director of Corporate Services	05/10/2021
Lorna Elliott	HR/Office Manager	05/10/2021
Approved by:		
Sanproup	CEO	06/10/2021
lan Crozier		

Note: A copy of the Screening Template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy, made easily accessible on your website as soon as possible following completion and made available on request.